



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,740	08/19/2003	Toshiyuki Kasai	116885	3821
25944	7590	08/21/2006	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			XIAO, KE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/642,740		KASAI, TOSHIYUKI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ke Xiao		2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Kimura (US 6,362,798).

Regarding independent **Claim 1**, the AAPA teaches an electronic circuit that changes a reference voltage value with a transforming circuit to supply the reference voltage to control terminals of a plurality of current-generating active elements (Fig. 16-17 elements 72 and 75, The reference voltage can either be V_{ref} or 0), establishes a conduction state of the plurality of current-generating active elements, and selects, using a plurality of switching transistors, some of the plurality of current generating active elements base on signals and generates a current having a current level corresponding to the signals by superposing currents passing through the current generating active elements selected by the signal, from among the plurality of current-generating active elements (Figs. 16-17, elements 73 77 and 79, Pg. 2 paragraphs [0008-0010]).

The AAPA fails to teach using a threshold voltage of a transistor to supply the reference voltage as claimed. Kimura teaches a compensating transistor which uses its

threshold voltage that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage of the driving transistor to supply a reference voltage (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120, Col. 10 lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the compensating transistor as taught by Kimura to the transforming circuit of the applicant's admitted prior art in order to stabilize the Vref input signal. The combined teachings of AAPA in view of Kimura would satisfy the limitation "the threshold voltage of a transistor that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage of one of a plurality of current-generating active elements".

Regarding independent **Claim 2**, the applicant's admitted prior art teaches an electronic circuit, comprising:

- a plurality of current-generating active elements (Fig. 17 element 78);
- a transforming circuit that generates an applied voltage that is applied to control terminals of the plurality of current-generating active elements by changing a reference voltage (Figs. 16-17, elements 72 and 75); and
- selection transistors connected in series to each of the plurality of current-generating active elements (Fig. 17, element 77),
- a current having a current level corresponding to signals being generated by superposing the currents that pass through a selection transistor in which an ON-state is selected, among the selection transistor, based on the signals and the current-generating active elements connected in series to the selected selection transistor from among the plurality of current-generating active elements (Figs. 16-17, elements 73 77 and 79, Pg. 2 paragraphs [0008-0010]).

The AAPA fails to teach using a threshold voltage of a transistor to change the reference voltage as claimed. Kimura teaches a compensating transistor which uses its threshold voltage that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage a driving transistor to change a reference voltage of the driving transistor (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120, Col. 10 lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the compensating transistor as taught by Kimura to the converting circuit of the applicant's admitted prior art in order to stabilize the Vref input signal. The combined teachings of AAPA in view of Kimura would satisfy the limitation "the threshold voltage of a transistor that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage of one of a plurality of current-generating active elements".

Regarding independent **Claim 13**, the applicant's admitted prior art teaches an electro-optical device (Pg. 1 paragraph [0001]), comprising:

- a control circuit that outputs digital luminance gradation data (Figs. 16 and 17, Pg. 2 paragraph [0008] the gradation data for transistors 77a-f must inherently come from a control circuit);

- a driving circuit that generates an analog driving signal base on the digital luminance gradation data (Fig. 17); and

- a pixel circuit that drives an electro-optical element based on the analog driving signal (Fig. 16, Pg. 1 paragraph [0006]),

- the driving circuit changing a reference voltage value with a converting circuit to supply the reference voltage to control terminals of a plurality of current-generating active elements and to establish a conduction state in the plurality of current-generating

active elements, and selecting, using a plurality of switching transistor, some of the plurality of current generating active elements base on the digital luminance gradation data, and superposing currents that pass through a current-generating active elements selected by the digital luminance gradation data, from among the plurality of current-generating active elements, and thereby generate an analog driving signal having a current level corresponding to the digital luminance gradation data (Figs. 16-17, elements 73 77 and 79, Pg. 2 paragraphs [0008-0010]).

The AAPA fails to teach using a threshold voltage of a transistor to change the reference voltage as claimed. Kimura teaches a compensating transistor which uses its threshold voltage that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage a driving transistor to change a reference voltage of the driving transistor (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120, Col. 10 lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the compensating transistor as taught by Kimura to the converting circuit of the applicant's admitted prior art in order to stabilize the Vref input signal. The combined teachings of AAPA in view of Kimura would satisfy the limitation "the threshold voltage of a transistor that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage of one of a plurality of current-generating active elements".

Regarding independent **Claim 14**, the applicant's admitted prior art teaches an electro-optical device (Pg. 1 paragraph [0001]), comprising:

a control circuit that outputs digital luminance gradation data (Figs. 16 and 17, Pg. 2 paragraph [0008] the gradation data for transistors 77a-f must inherently come from a control circuit);

a driving circuit that generates an analog driving signal base on the digital luminance gradation data (Fig. 17); and

a pixel circuit that drives an electro-optical element based on the analog driving signal (Fig. 16, Pg. 1 paragraph [0006]),

the driving circuit comprising a plurality of current-generating active elements; a transforming circuit that generates an applied voltage which is applied to control terminals of the plurality of current-generating active elements by changing a reference voltage; and selection transistors connected in series to each other plurality of current-generating active elements (Fig. 17), and

a current having a current level corresponding to the digital luminance gradation data being generating by superposing the current that pass through a selection transistor in which an ON-state is selected, from among the selection transistor, base on the signal and the current-generating active elements connected in series to the selected selection transistor from among the plurality of current-generating active elements (Figs. 16-17, elements 73 77 and 79, Pg. 2 paragraphs [0008-0010]).

The AAPA fails to teach using a threshold voltage of a transistor to change the reference voltage as claimed. Kimura teaches a compensating transistor which uses its threshold voltage that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage a driving transistor to change a reference voltage of the driving transistor (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120, Col. 10 lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the compensating transistor as taught by Kimura to the converting circuit of the applicant's admitted prior art in order to stabilize the Vref input

signal. The combined teachings of AAPA in view of Kimura would satisfy the limitation "the threshold voltage of a transistor that is substantially identical to a threshold voltage of one of a plurality of current-generating active elements".

Regarding **Claims 3**, Kimura further teaches a compensating transistor that reduces the reference voltage value by a predetermined value or that adds a predetermined value to the reference voltage value (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120).

Regarding **Claims 4 and 16**, the admitted prior art further teaches that each of the current-generating active elements includes at least one transistor (Fig. 17).

Regarding **Claims 5 and 17**, the admitted prior art further teaches that the current-generating active elements are connected in parallel to each other (Fig. 17).

Regarding **Claims 6 and 18**, the admitted prior art further teaches that each of the current-generating active elements comprise one current generating transistor and the current generating transistor have different gain factors from each other (Fig. 17, Pg. 2 paragraph [0011]).

Regarding **Claims 7 and 19**, the admitted prior art further teaches at least one current generating active element from among the plurality is connected in series to a unit transistor (Fig. 17, Pg. 2 paragraph [0011] Transistor 78a would be considered the unit transistor and transistor 77a would be connected in series with 78a).

Regarding **Claims 8 and 20**, Kimura further teaches that the compensating transistors should have the same characteristics with driving transistors (Kimura, Col. 10 lines 19-25). When the compensating transistor as taught by Kimura is applied to the applicant's admitted prior art as stated above the driving transistor becomes the unit

transistor 78a, which means that they should preferably have the same characteristics as claimed.

Regarding **Claims 9 and 21**, Kimura further teaches that the compensating transistor is formed next to the driving circuitry as well as having the same threshold values (Fig. 1 elements 110 and 120, Col. 10 lines 19-25).

Regarding **Claims 10 and 22**, Kimura further teaches an initializing device that turns on the compensating transistor (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 130). Such a device is critical to the operation of the compensating transistor and is therefore inherent in the combination made above.

Regarding **Claim 15**, AAPA in view of Kimura further teaches that the transforming circuit comprises a compensating transistor that reduces the reference voltage value by a predetermined value or that adds a predetermined value to the reference voltage value (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 120).

Regarding **Claims 11-12 and 23-24**, AAPA fails to teach that the transforming circuit further comprises a voltage stabilizing device, which comprises capacitors. Kimura further teaches a voltage-stabilizing device comprising a capacitor for further stabilizing the voltage for the transforming circuit (Kimura, Fig. 1 element 160). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the capacitor as described by Kimura to the transforming circuit of the AAPA in order to maintain the gate voltage of the compensating transistor. Additionally a capacitor must be used for each compensating transistor and since there are multiple compensating transistors, one for each data line, there must also be multiple capacitors.

Regarding **Claims 25 and 26**, the admitted prior art further teaches that the electro-optical element is an electroluminescent element comprising a light-emitting layer made of organic materials (Pg. 1 paragraph [0002-0003]).

Regarding **Claims 27 and 28**, the admitted prior art further teaches an electronic apparatus packaged with the electronic circuit (Pg. 1 paragraph [0001-0003]).

Regarding **Claim 29 and 30**, the admitted prior art further teaches at least one current generating active element of the plurality of current generating active elements has a parallel connection to the unit transistor (Fig. 17, Pg. 2 paragraph [0011] Transistor 78a would be considered the unit transistor and the rest of the transistor would therefore be connected in parallel to 78a).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 14th, 2006 - kx -



**RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**